

## **Minutes of CMO Board Meeting**

# Wednesday 5<sup>th</sup> June 2024

## **Version 1 (APPROVED for board view)**

Attendees: Maria Hadfield (MH), Ben Lockwood (BL), Alison Breese (AB), Holly Jarvis (HJ), Neil

Shorter (NS), Tom Hodson (TH) Simon Banfield (SB) Cllr Heather Hayward (HH), Steve

Bartlett (SJB), Alex Evans (AE)

Apologies: Katy Parr (KP)

Chair: Ben Lockwood

#### **Welcome & Declarations of Interest**

The meeting was chaired by BL who welcomed the Board and apologies were noted. No conflicts of interest were declared.

## **Approval of Minutes and Matters Arising**

The minutes of the meeting of 6<sup>th</sup> March 2024 were approved.

Action	Update	Who
		Responsible/
		Timeframe
HD will look to put	1	TH
	submit another HD director. 6/9 Update: No further	
to the CMO Board.	correspondence received with regards to this. 6/3	
	Update: TH reaffirmed that Hodson Developments will	
	look to put forward another Director, but did not	
	commit to this. The importance of having a full	
	complement of Directors was pressed as being	
	important for the CMO to be able to carry out its	
	business and how it was important for all parties (not	
	just HD) to put forward Directors where they have the	
	right to do so. HD will not support the appointment of	
	new Developers to the CMO Board.	
	5/6 No further update given	
Reach out to Chelmden	7/6 BL has sent email to Chelmden, no response	BL
to nominate a Director	received at time of meeting. 6/9 Update: No further	
to fill their nomination	correspondence received with regards to this. 6/3	
	Update: This has been chased twice.	



	5/6 Pulins have replied and have not put forward a	
	director at this date	
Bring forward over	6/9 Update: Outstanding, MH & KP will bring this to a	MH/KP
ground SUDS adoption	future board meeting. 6/3 Update: On agenda	
to a future board	5/6 discussed on agenda. RMG to give requirements	
meeting.	of contaminate testing asap.	
Agree timescales and	6/9 Update: Outstanding 6/3 Update: Outstanding	MH
processes with HD &	5/6 HD Outstanding	
Jarvis Homes in relation		
to legal administrative		
requirements.		
Prompt Hallets to send	6/3 Update: MH has made contact with limited effect. A	МН
through correct	meeting has been requested between	
information for resales	CMO/Knights/Halletts, waiting for confirmation of dates.	
to Knights and RMG	5/6 Several meetings have taken place between	
	Halletts/Knights/CMO and matters are now moving	
	forward	

## SUBJECT DISCUSSION AT 5<sup>TH</sup> JUNE 2024 BOARD MEETING:

#### **GOVERNANCE**

## **Appointment of Cllr Hayward**

Noted, ABC legal now have replies to all insurance questions. Awaiting approval to add Cllr Heyward to Companies House as a Director

#### **Re-Election of Resident Director Post**

Noted, a meeting for 11 June has been set for setting out the process and timescales for the Resident Director post reappointment

### **LEGAL**

## **Jarvis Legal Issues**

The Board were pleased to hear that progress had been made with resolving the outstanding items, work will continue in this area.

#### **OPERATIONAL**

### **SUDS Pond 3**



TH informed the board that they had received various complaints regarding the Pond and other areas of landscaping on Phase 1. MH informed that the CMO had not received any and requested that in future residents are encouraged to speak directly to the CMO. TH asked for an update on the handover of the reeds maintenance and asked for clarification of the type of contamination survey that was being requested by RMG. MH will ask KP to clarify with RMG on the contamination survey, if this is not received within a reasonable time the handover will proceed without it. TH asked what the hold up was with handover. MH referred to the mud that needed clearing around the Inlets/Outlets, this should have happened on 17<sup>th</sup> May. TH will instruct for this to be cleared in next five days.

#### Landscaping other areas Phase 1 and 2

TH noted that on the site walk on 8<sup>th</sup> May two other areas were handed to the CMO for maintenance. The Board then discussed the pictures sent in by TH. NS commented that some of the benching for the kerbs left little soil for grass to grow and contributed to some problem areas. In general the Board felt that, should there be any landscaping issues, RMG needed to be held to account for Earles landscaping quality. The Board did not feel that support was needed by Hodson Developments in this area and NS offered to meet and review with RMG any areas of concern. KP to review the areas of concern with RMG details to be supplied by HD. AB suggested any pictures of problem areas be forward to RMG for action. It was noted that in the retendering of the estate management contract, KPI's would be set for attendance on site of the contract manager.

### **Occupation of Cabin**

MH then explained that currently due to debt collection reaching court stage she was having several disturbing conversation with angry and distressed residents. The threat of face to face confrontations escalating could result in the safety of staff being compromised. TH said that Hodson onsite staff were also experiencing negative comments from residents due to the current situation with the slow development on site. It was not felt appropriate to incur costs such as Wi-Fi, cleaning and utilities for the facility to be underutilised. It was stressed that this did not affect residents hiring the Cabin. AB suggested that a longer-term solutions for staff would need to be explored and also suggested putting a note on the CMO website about general curtesy to staff. The Board agreed that occupation would currently pause.

## Freedom Leisure \_ Yoga sessions

The proposal to offer free taster sessions for Yoga was agreed with a budget of £500 set. A Newsletter would be sent to canvass the best times for the session and to gauge what take up there could be.

#### **FINANCE**

MH introduced the current debt profile which whilst reducing was still high and explained that this was consuming a lot of CMO resources with residents disputing the RCD charges. The Board requested that as much of the debt control as possible be directed to RMG.

#### **Retendering of Estate Management Contract**



NS, MH and KP met two weeks ago and reviewed the specification for the retendering alongside the resident's survey feedback. MH explained that all reference to service charge has been removed and it was now highlighted that there is a different approach to the usual ARMA code which covers service charges.

No.	Comment	Decision/Action	Who	Timeframe/
			Responsible	Date
	MH to circulate tender invitation	Action: Circulate	MH	1 Sep
	and specification to residential	pack		distribute, 30
	estate management companies			Sept returns

#### MATTERS TO NOTE -TO BE TAKEN ON BLOCK

- Compliance Certificate Tracker
- Management Accounts (Year ended 31 March 24)
- Finance and Risk Minutes (Jan attached)
- Planning Comments Submitted this Quarter

No.	Comment	Decision/Action	Who Responsible	Timeframe/ Date
	NA		•	Date
	Matters to note: SB requested that	Approved	MH	
	the BDW completions which are	unanimously		
	part of the settlement be taken off			
	the Knights Tracker			
	BL thanked Hodson Developments			
	for their work in support of the			
	recent PCC elections and making			
	sure the Cabin had clean and			
	accessible roads.			

## **Any Other Business**

#### <u>Planning Comment – water Treatment Plant</u>

Chilmington Management Organisation (CMO) recognises and is concerned with the impact that a stall on development in the Chilmington Green site will have on our residents, delaying further the provision on much needed community infrastructure and extending the construction period. Therefore, there is a clear need for a solution to the current nutrient neutrality situation which is stalling development of the Chilmington site and therefore adversely affecting the current residents of the development and a Waste Water Treatment Plant is, on balance, the best solution for the development.



The CMO recognises that the prospect of a wastewater treatment plant is not ideal for nearby residents, on and off the site. We do feel that there can be proper checks and balances built into the planning application to ensure that this infrastructure is properly built and managed. We would urge the Council to ensure that if this scheme is approved that there will be a mechanism to hold the developer/operator to account, should the facility adversely affect residents and that it is managed to the standards proposed in the application.

Therefore, on balance the CMO is supportive of the application on the grounds that this is necessary to ensure that:

- The placemaking and expected facilities included within the Chilmington Garden Community and which will contribute towards creating a thriving community are delivered.
- The development continues so that the current residents will not be living on an unfinished site (which falls significantly below their expectations and negatively impacts on their enjoyment of the area) for the foreseeable future.

Next Meeting: Wednesday 4<sup>th</sup> September 2024, 2pm to 4pm